Wurtenberger, Patty Rae Winchester, KY Page 1 of 1



Morgantown, WV 26507-0880

Kentucky Pioneer Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle Demonstration Project Draft Environmental Impact Statement U.S. Department of Energy National Energy Technology Laboratory

## Written Comment Form Must be received by January 4, 2002.

18 DECEMBER 2001
RE! BURNING OF GARBAGE + COAL AT JK SMITH PLANT IN CLARK
COUNTY, KY
I FEEL THAT IT WOULD BE DETRIMENTAL TO MY AREA
TO BANG IN GARBARE FROM OTHER STATES AND OTHER COUNTIES
IN MY STATE TO BUILD IN MY COUNTY. I ALSO FEEL THAT
BURNING COAL WOULD VERY MUCH CONTAMINATE THE ATMOSPHERE
OF MY LOCAL AREA, THE THOUGHT OF BURNING BOTH OF MESE
TOGETHER IS OBNOXIOUS TO ME, I NO NOT THINK IT IS OUR TROSLER
TO THE ON OTHER STATE'S GARBAGE, I IMAGNE WE HAVE ENOUGH
OF DUR DIDD.
I would 1450 LIKE TO KNOW WHERE THE EPA STANDS ON
HASISSUE! I STRONGLY OPPOSE THIS PLAN.
Anth Rao Wentenberger
Please use other side if more space is needed. 3/5 / Jrawes 5 (2) Kg 40 39/
Comment forms may be mailed to:
Mr. Roy Spears Mr. Roy Spears U.S. Decorporate of Energy (304) 285-4403
U.S. Department of Energy (304) 285-4403 National Energy Technology Laboratory
3610 Collins Ferry Road

Comment No. 1 Issue Code: 16

The relatively small amounts and generally widely dispersed nature of MSW in Kentucky does not economically support exclusive utilization of Kentucky-generated MSW to produce RDF supplies. Importing RDF from a densely populated metropolitan area is more economically viable in order to supply the necessary amount of RDF required to operate the plant.

Comment No. 2 Issue Code: 06

Comment noted. The proposed project is not a conventional power plant burning coal or RDF. Instead of burning such fuels in a boiler system, the proposed project would use gasification technologies to convert the solid fuels into a syngas rather similar to natural gas. That syngas fuel would be the fuel burned in the gas turbine generator system. As illustrated in Table 5.7-3 of the EIS, maximum air quality impacts from the proposed project would be less than 1 percent of the relevant federal air quality standards for gaseous pollutants such as NO<sub>x</sub>, SO<sub>x</sub>, and CO. Maximum impacts from the proposed project on particulate matter concentrations would be less than 4 percent of the federal 24-hour PM<sub>10</sub> standard and less than 1.5 percent of the federal annual average PM<sub>10</sub> standard. Table 5.7-4 of the EIS identifies estimated maximum downwind concentrations of hazardous pollutants expected to be emitted by the proposed facility and the associated maximum lifetime cancer risks.

Comment No. 3 Issue Code: 21

Comments provided by EPA and DOE's responses to those comments are included in this appendix. EPA's comments are on page D-407.

(cont.)

3/21